

Substitute for Form 1449/PTO

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(use as many sheets as necessary)

**Complete if Known**

Application Number	10/600,298
Filing Date	June 20, 2003
First Named Inventor:	Julian N. Nikolchev
Art Unit	3743
Examiner Name	Henry A. Bennett

Sheet	1.	of	3	Attorney Docket No.	006701.P002XC4
-------	----	----	---	---------------------	----------------

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials*	Cite No ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	T ²
MB	1.	Conceptus, Inc.'s <u>Complaint for Declaratory Judgment of Patent Non-Infringement, Invalidity and Unenforceability; and Demand for Jury Trial</u> , Case #:3:02-cv-1968, filed in U.S. District Court Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, executed April 22, 2002, pages 1-10.	
	2.	Conceptus, Inc.'s <u>Conceptus, Inc.'s Patent Local Rule 4-2 Preliminary Claim Constructions and Extrinsic Evidence, with Proof of Service</u> Case No. C-02-1968 (MHP), filed in U.S. District Court Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, executed June 19, 2003, pages 1-16.	
	3.	Conceptus, Inc.'s <u>Conceptus' Amended Answer to Ovion's Complaint, Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims, and Jury Demand</u> , Case No. C-02-3884 (MHP), filed in U.S. District Court Northern District of California, executed September 26, 2002, pages 1-12; <u>Proof of Service</u> , pages 1-2.	
	4.	Conceptus, Inc.'s <u>Conceptus' Answer to Ovion's Complaint, Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims</u> , Case No. C-02-3884 (MHP), filed in U.S. District Court Northern District of California, executed September 25, 2002, pages 1-12.	
	5.	Conceptus, Inc.'s <u>Conceptus' Motion For Summary Judgment of Invalidity of the Asserted '116 Patent Claims in view of Conceptus, Inc.'s '979 Patent</u> Case No. C-02-1968 (MHP), filed in U.S. District Court Northern District of California, executed October 6, 2003, pages i-v and 1-25.	
↓	6.	Conceptus, Inc.'s <u>Declaration of Dr. Ralph M. Richart in Support of Conceptus, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of the Asserted '116 Patent Claims in View of Conceptus, Inc.'s '979 Patent</u> , Case No. C-02-1968 (MHP), filed in U.S. District Court Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, executed October 6, 2003, pages 1-	

Examiner Signature	/Michael Brown/	Date Considered	02/20/2007
--------------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. ¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.

Substitute for Form 1449/PTO

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary)

Complete if Known

Application Number	10/600,298
Filing Date	June 20, 2003
First Named Inventor:	Julian N. Nikolchev
Art Unit	3743
Examiner Name	Henry A. Bennett

Sheet	2.	of	3	Attorney Docket No.	006701.P002XC4
-------	----	----	---	---------------------	----------------

		14, Exhibits 1-5.	
--	--	-------------------	--

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials*	Cite No ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	T ²
MB	7.	Conceptus, Inc.'s <u>First Amended Complaint For (1) Declaration Judgment of Patent Non-Infringement, Invalidity and Unenforceability; (2) False Advertising Under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(A); (3) Trade Libel; (4) Unfair Competition Under Common Law and Cal. B&P §17200 Et Seq.; (5) Unjust Enrichment; and (6) Constructive Trust Demand for Jury Trial</u> , Case #:3:02-cv-1968 MHP, filed in U.S. District Court Northern District of California, executed June 13, 2001, pages 1-19.	
	8.	Conceptus, Inc.'s <u>Preliminary Invalidity Contentions</u> , Case #:3:02-cv-1968 MHP and Case No. C-02-3884 (MHP), filed in U.S. District Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division, executed April 20, 2003, pages 1-129; Certificate of Service, pages 1-3.	
	9.	KERIN, J.F., 'New Methods for Transcervical Cannulation of the Fallopian Tube,' <u>International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics</u> , 51 Suppl. 1 (1995) pages S29-S39.	
	10.	Ovion, Inc.'s and Conceptus, Inc.'s, <u>Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3</u> , Case No. C-02-3884 (MHP), filed in U.S. District Court Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, executed August 6, 2003, pages 1-5; Table 1, pages 1; Table 2, pages 1-67; Table 3, pages 1-6; and <u>Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3</u> , with Expert Reports, tabs 1-4 as exhibits.	

Examiner Signature	/Michael Brown/	Date Considered	02/20/2007
--------------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. ¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.

Substitute for Form 1449/PTO

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary)

Complete if Known

Application Number	10/600,298
Filing Date	June 20, 2003
First Named Inventor:	Julian N. Nikolchev
Art Unit	3743
Examiner Name	Henry A. Bennett

Sheet	3.	of	3	Attorney Docket No.	006701.P002XC4
-------	----	----	---	---------------------	----------------

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials*	Cite No ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	T ²
MB	11.	Ovion, Inc.'s <u>Complaint for Patent Infringement; and Demand for Jury Trial</u> , Case No. C-02-3884, filed in U.S. District Court Northern District of California, executed August 13, 2002, pages 1-5.	
	12.	RISQUEZ, F., et al., "Transcervical Tubal Cannulation, Past, Present, and Future" <u>Fertility and Sterilization</u> , Centro Medico Docente La Trinidad, Caracas, Venezuela, and Mount Sinai Hospital Medical Center and Rush Medical College, Chicago, IL, Vol. 60, No. 2, August 1993 Pgs. 211-226.	
	13.	WILSON, E.W., "The Evolution and Methods for Female Sterilization", <u>International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics</u> 51 Suppl. 1 (1995) S2-S13.	
	14.	WILSON, E.W., "Sterilization" <u>Baillière's Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology</u> , Vol. 10, No. 1, April 1996, pages 103-119.	
	15.	U.S. District Court California Northern District Civil Docket For Case #:3:02-cv-01968 MHP, pages 1-10.	
↓	16.	U.S. District Court California Northern District Civil Docket For Case #:3:02-cv-03884, pages 1-8.	

Examiner Signature	/Michael Brown/	Date Considered	02/20/2007
--------------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. ¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.